

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/721,818

Applicant(s)

BRAUNEIS ET AL.

Examiner

CHIH-CHING CHOW

Art Unit

2191

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHIH-CHING CHOW.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Rudolf Siegesmund Reg. No. 37,720.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner asked the Applicant Representative to amend independent claims, so it includes the distinct features of the invention, and put the claims in allowable condition.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chih-Ching Chow/

Examiner, Art Unit 2191

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.